1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Universal Processing Services of Wisconsin, LLC dba Newtek Merchant Solutions,

Plaintiff

v.

Sungame Corp., et al.,

Defendants

2:16-cv-00074-JAD-GWF

Order Denying Motions to Dismiss as Moot in Light of Amended Complaint

[#23, 24, 28, 42]

In response to the original complaint, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint or, alternatively, transfer venue.¹ Two weeks later, plaintiff filed an amended complaint,² and the parties have stipulated to extend the defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to it.³

Rule 15(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits parties to amend their complaints once as a matter of course within 21 days of a motion to dismiss.⁴ Plaintiff's amended complaint was timely filed as of right. Once filed, an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading in its entirety, mooting a motion to dismiss the original pleading.⁵

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the motions to dismiss or transfer venue based on the original complaint [ECF No. 23, 24, 28] are DENIED as moot and without prejudice;

I treat the pending stipulation to extend time to respond to the complaint [ECF No. 42] as a joint motion to extend the deadlines, and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the request to extend the

27

28

¹ ECF No. 23, 24, 28.

[†] | ² ECF No. 37.

³ ECF No. 42.

⁴ Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B).

⁵ See Forsyth v. Humana, Inc., 114 F.3d 1467, 1474 (9th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds in Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012).

Case 2:16-cv-00074-JAD-GWF Document 43 Filed 05/17/16 Page 2 of 2